STATE OF VERMONT PUBLIC SERVICE BOARD

Docket No. 6860

Petitions of Vermont Electric Power Company, Inc. (VELCO) and Green Mountain Power Corporation (GMP) for a certificate of public good, pursuant to 30 V.S.A. Section 248, authorizing VELCO to construct the so-called Northwest Vermont Reliability Project, said project to include: (1) upgrades at 12 existing VELCO and GMP substations located in Charlotte, Essex, Hartford, New Haven, North Ferrisburgh, Poultney, Shelburne, South Burlington, Vergennes, West Rutland, Williamstown, and Williston, Vermont; (2) the construction of a new 345 kV transmission line from West Rutland to New Haven; (3) the reconstruction of a portion of a 34.5 kV and 46 kV transmission line from New Haven to South Burlington; and (4) the reconductoring of a 115 kV transmission line from Williamstown to Barre, Vermont –

Order entered: 10/25/2006

ORDER RE: MOTION TO INTERVENE BY WENDY COPP AND JOHN HODGSON

On October 3, 2006, Wendy Copp and John Hodgson filed a motion to intervene in this Docket. The motion seeks permissive intervention under Public Service Board ("Board") Rule 2.209(B), and states that Ms. Copp and Mr. Hodgson "have a substantial interest in this matter due to the proximity of the VELCO right-of-way to their property and home."

Ms. Copp and Mr. Hodgson's motion to intervene was addressed at a status conference on October 13, 2006. No party objected to their intervention. At the status conference, the Public Service Board ("Board") stated that it would allow Ms. Copp and Mr. Hodgson to intervene in the post-certification proceedings, and that they must take the case as it exists.

Docket No. 6860 Page 2

Today's Order confirms the Board's decision to grant Ms. Copp's and Mr. Hodgson's motion to intervene.

Ms. Copp and Mr. Hodgson have demonstrated an interest in the post-certification review process as it relates to project facilities which affect their property. The Board grants Ms. Copp and Mr. Hodgson permissive intervention, pursuant to Board Rule 2.209(B), but limits participation in the post-certification process to issues relating to facilities affecting their property, pursuant to Rule 2.209(C). As the Board noted in its Order of January 28, 2005, at page 215, "entities that have not been participants in this Docket should not be allowed to reexamine issues that have already been litigated by several parties." Consequently, Ms. Copp and Mr. Hodgson may not challenge any of the Board's orders, findings, and conclusions issued in the Docket prior to this intervention.

So Ordered.

Dated at Montpelier, Vermont, this <u>25th</u> day of <u>October</u>, 2006.

) PUBLIC SERVIC	E
s/David C. Coen)) Board	
s/John D. Burke)) of Vermont)	

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

FILED: October 25, 2006

ATTEST: s/Judith C. Whitney

Deputy Clerk of the Board

NOTICE TO READERS: This decision is subject to revision of technical errors. Readers are requested to notify the Clerk of the Board (by e-mail, telephone, or in writing) of any apparent errors, in order that any necessary corrections may be made. (E-mail address: psb.clerk@state.vt.us)